arXiv:1509.06697v2 [math.AP] 27 Oct 2015

On the Asymptotic Analysis of Problems Involving Fractional Laplacian in Cylindrical Domains Tending to Infinity

October 28, 2015

Indranil Chowdhury[1] and Prosenjit Roy[1]

1 Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Centre For Applicable Mathematics, Bangalore-560065, India.
indranil@math.tifrbng.res.in, prosenjit@math.tifrbng.res.in

Abstract

The article is an attempt to investigate the issues of asymptotic analysis for problems involving fractional Laplacian where the domains tend to become unbounded in one-direction. Motivated from the pioneering work on second order elliptic problems by Chipot and Rougirel in [3], where the force functions are considered on the cross section of domains, we prove the non-local counterpart of their result.

Furthermore, recently in [15] Yeressian established a weighted estimate for solutions of nonlocal Dirichlet problems which exhibit the asymptotic behavior. The case when $s = 1/2$ was also treated as an example to show how the weighted estimate might be used to achieve the asymptotic behavior. In this article, we extend this result to each order between 0 and 1.

1 Introduction

The non-local operators, in particular the fractional Laplace operators, have gained a great interest in recent day research; both for their interesting theoretical structures and for their wide range of applications. Motivated from the recent interest in this topic, we consider the non-local counterpart of the following second order elliptic problem :

$$\begin{cases} -\triangle v_\ell = f_\ell & \text{in } \Omega_\ell, \\ v_\ell = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_\ell, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where, $\Omega_\ell = (-\ell, \ell) \times \omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ denotes a cylinder of length ℓ with the open bounded set $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ as the cross section and $v_\ell \in H_0^1(\Omega_\ell)$ denotes the unique weak solution of (1.1) for $f_\ell \in L^2(\Omega_\ell)$. Asymptotic behavior of problems of type (1.1), as the length ℓ tends to infinity, have been studied with full generality in last two decades. We refer [2], [3], [10] as some of the relevant references in this topic. Also a large amount of literature is available on the asymptotic analysis for different

---

Keywords: Asymptotic behavior of solutions, fractional Laplacian, integro-partial differential equation.
Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 35B40, 35R09

types of elliptic, parabolic and hyperbolic problems involving different boundary conditions, for instance see [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [13], [14]. Our aim of this paper is to investigate the asymptotic behavior of the solution to the Dirichlet problem for the fractional Laplace operator. To be precise, let us consider the following problem :

$$\begin{cases} (-\triangle)^s u_\ell = f_\ell & \text{in } \Omega_\ell, \\ u_\ell = 0 & \text{on } \Omega_\ell^c. \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

Where, Ω_ℓ is same as above definition and for a fixed $s \in (0,1)$ the fractional Laplace operator is defined as

$$(-\triangle)^s u(x) = C_{n,s}\ P.V \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u(x) - u(x+y)}{|y|^{n+2s}}\ dy. \tag{1.3}$$

Here, $P.V$ denotes that the above integral has to be defined in principal value sense. The constant $C_{n,s}$, which depends both on n and s, will be defined explicitly in next section. The other way of defining the fractional Laplacian is also possible which has also been discussed in the next section.

To the best of our knowledge, only result available on asymptotic analysis for non-local elliptic problems is due to Yeressian (see, [15]). In [15] the author has obtained weighted estimates for solutions of Dirichlet problems for a class of non-local operators which are the generators of the semi-group created by symmetric pure jump Levy processes. Fractional Laplacians are generators of such processes. But in [15] the weighted estimates has been used only to show the asymptotic behavior for the case $s = 1/2$ (see, Lemma 4 of [15]) . We can formulate this asymptotic behavior result as follows :

Theorem 1.1 *Let u_ℓ be the weak solution of the equation* (1.2) *for $s = \frac{1}{2}$ with the condition that*

$$support(f_\ell) \subset \Omega_\ell \setminus \Omega_{\ell-1} \quad and \quad ||f_\ell||_{L^2(\Omega_\ell)} \leq K, \tag{1.4}$$

where $K > 0$ is a constant independent of ℓ. Then, as $\ell \to \infty$ we have

$$\int_{\Omega_1} u_\ell^2 \longrightarrow\ 0.$$

In this article, we deal with two kinds of problems. In the first part, we consider a completely different condition than (1.4) on the force term f_ℓ. In this case, similar to the result by Chipot and Rougirel in [3], the force term f_ℓ would be defined only on $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ which assures us that f_ℓ would be independent of ℓ. To be precise, we denote $X \in \mathbb{R}^n$ by $(x_1, X_2) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and we will study the following problem

$$\begin{cases} (-\triangle)^s u_\ell = f(X_2) & \text{in } \Omega_\ell, \\ u_\ell = 0 & \text{on } \Omega_\ell^c, \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

where, $f \in L^2(\omega)$.

In the case of classical Laplacian, Chipot *et al* in [3] and [15] have showed that the weak solution v_ℓ of equation (1.1) with $f_\ell = f(X_2)$ will converge to v_∞ in $H^1(\Omega_{\alpha\ell})$ for any $\alpha \in (0,1)$ where $v_\infty \in H_0^1(\omega)$ is the unique weak solution of the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned} -\triangle v_\infty(X_2) &= f(X_2) \qquad \text{in} \quad \omega, \\ v_\infty(X_2) &= 0 \qquad\quad\ \ \text{on} \quad \partial\omega. \end{aligned}$$

We expect a similar convergence result for fractional order Laplacian case also, i.e, the asymptotic behavior of the solution u_ℓ to the problem (1.5) should depend on the problem (1.6) which sets on the cross section ω of the cylinder. In particular, we obtain the following theorem which is one of the main result in our article. Let $(-\triangle')^s$ be denoted as fractional Laplace operator in $n-1$ dimension.

Theorem 1.2 *Let us assume* $s \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, $f(X_2) \in L^2(\omega)$. *Let* u_ℓ *be the unique weak solution of equation* (1.5) *for each* ℓ *and* u_∞ *be the unique weak solution of the following equation on the cross section* ω *of the cylinder* Ω_ℓ,

$$\begin{cases} (-\triangle')^s u_\infty = f(X_2) & \text{in } \omega, \\ u_\infty = 0 & \text{on } \omega^c. \end{cases} \tag{1.6}$$

Then for each $\alpha \in (0,1)$ *as* $\ell \to \infty$, *we have*

$$\int_{\Omega_{\alpha\ell}} |u_\ell - u_\infty|^2 \to 0.$$

In the second part of this article, we reconsider the equations of type (1.2) when f_ℓ satisfies (1.4). Our second main result of this article extends Theorem 1.1 to every values of $s \in (0,1)$. In particular, we prove the following theorem :

Theorem 1.3 *Assume* f_ℓ *satisfies the condition* (1.4) *and let* u_ℓ *be the weak solution of the problem* (1.2). *Then for any* $\delta > 0$, *as* $\ell \to \infty$ *one has*

$$\int_{\Omega_1} u_\ell^2 \longrightarrow 0.$$

In the above theorem one can replace Ω_1 with Ω_R for any given $R > 0$. Here we would like to point out that the rate of convergence obtained while proving Theorem 1.1 is better than the rate we obtained while proving Theorem 1.3 for the case of $s = \frac{1}{2}$. However, we are more interested in studying the convergence of u_ℓ in L^2-norm for each $s \in (0,1)$ rather than to get a better rate of convergence in particular. It makes Theorem 1.3 more valuable for our purpose.

One can deduce the convergence result for the solution of equation (1.1) with $f_\ell = f(X_2)$ from the convergence result for the solution of equation (1.1) with the condition (1.4). This can be done using a suitable transformation of the type (A.1). Thus, in the case of classical Laplacian, the two problems are some what related. But, for the problem involving fractional Laplacian, the situation is completely different due to its non-local nature. Still, we can prove a connection between these two problems by considering the force function f with better regularity. We will discuss this issue in details in the Appendix.

Rest of the article is organized as follows. In the next section we introduce some basic notations and definitions which would be required throughout the article. Section 3 is devoted to some preliminary results that will be required in the proof of Theorem 1.2. We need to emphasize that v_∞ can be extended to Ω_ℓ for each $\ell > 0$ trivially in the classical Laplace case and we can easily see that $\triangle v_\infty$ is well defined in $\Omega_\ell \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. However, in the non-local setting one has to justify the well-definedness properly and this issue has also been considered in Section 3. In Section 4 we present the proof of Theorem 1.2. In Section 5 we analyze the case of article [15] where the force function f_ℓ has chosen to be supported inside $\Omega_\ell \setminus \Omega_{\ell-1}$ and we extend Theorem 1.1 to all $s \in (0,1)$. The paper concludes with an Appendix where we make a connection between these two problems with some extra assumptions.

2 Notations and Definitions

In this section we introduce various notations and definitions of spaces that would be used through out the paper.

Unless and otherwise mentioned, X, Y, Z will denote points in $\mathbb{R}^n$, x_1, y_1, z_1 will denote points in $\mathbb{R}$ and X_2, Y_2, Z_2 will denote points on $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. It is understood that, through out this paper functions are extended by zero, if not explicitly mentioned. B_R will denote ball of radius R with center at 0. We use letters C, K etc to denote various generic constants which may change from line to line.

The L^∞-norm in a set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ will be denoted by $||.||_{L^\infty(U)}$. Similarly the L^2-norm in U will be denoted by $||.||_{L^2(U)}$. The Hölder space $C^{k,\alpha}(U)$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \in (0,1]$ is defined as the subspace of $C^k(U)$ consisting of functions whose k - th order partial derivatives are uniformly Hölder continuous with exponent α and the norm will be defined as

$$||u||_{C^{k,\alpha}(U)} = \sum_{l=0}^{k} ||D^l u||_{L^\infty(U)} + \sup_{X,Y \in U} \frac{|D^k u(X) - D^k u(Y)|}{|X-Y|^\alpha}.$$

For $s \in (0,1)$, the n and $n-1$ dimensional fractional Laplacian operators will be denoted by $(-\triangle)^s$ and $(-\triangle')^s$ respectively. We have already defined the fractional Laplacian in (1.3). We can also write the integral in (1.3) as weighted second order differential quotient, provided it is well defined. For any $s \in (0,1)$ and $X \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we write

$$(-\triangle)^s u(X) = C_{n,s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{2u(X) - u(X+Y) - u(X-Y)}{|Y|^{n+2s}}\, dY. \tag{2.1}$$

The novelty of this representation is that the above integral does not involve the singularity at origin. We refer to [11] for the equivalence of two definitions (1.3) and (2.1). The space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined as the space of all functions $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, such that the map

$$(X,Y) \mapsto \frac{u(X) - u(Y)}{|X-Y|^{\frac{n}{2}+s}}$$

belongs to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$. It is well known (see, [1]) that $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a Hilbert space endowed with the norm

$$||u||_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(X)|^2 dX + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(X) - u(Y)|^2}{|X-Y|^{n+2s}} dX dY. \tag{2.2}$$

The second integral of (2.2) is called Gagliardo semi-norm for $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and is denoted by $[.]_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. Let Ω be any open bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$. We define the space $H^s_\Omega(\mathbb{R}^n)$, endowed with the norm $||.||_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, as

$$H^s_\Omega(\mathbb{R}^n) := \left\{ u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \;\middle|\; u = 0 \text{ on } \Omega^c \right\}.$$

Also by $V(\Omega)$, we denote the space of all functions from $\mathbb{R}^n$ to $\mathbb{R}$, such that $u\big|_\Omega \in L^2(\Omega)$ and the map

$$(X, Y) \mapsto \frac{u(X) - u(Y)}{|X - Y|^{\frac{n}{2}+s}}$$

belongs to $L^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n)$. $C^\infty_c(\Omega)$ will denote the set of compactly supported smooth functions in Ω.

We define $\varepsilon_\Omega : H^s_\Omega(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^s_\Omega(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R}$, the bi-linear form, as

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon_\Omega(u, v) := C_{n,s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\{u(X) - u(Y)\}\, v(X)}{|X - Y|^{n+2s}} dX dY \\ = \frac{C_{n,s}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\{u(X) - u(Y)\}\{v(X) - v(Y)\}}{|X - Y|^{n+2s}} dX dY,\end{aligned}$$

where $C_{n,s}$ can be written down explicitly as

$$Cn, s = \frac{s 2^s \Gamma\left(\frac{n+2s}{2}\right)}{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma(1 - s)} \tag{2.3}$$

and Γ denotes the usual Gamma function. Detailed discussion on the constant $C_{n,s}$ can be found in [11]. We refer to [1] for the the proof of the second equality in the definition of ε_Ω above. We now define the notion of weak solution to the problem (1.2).

Definition 2.1 *Let Ω_ℓ be the open bounded set defined as above and $f_\ell \in L^2(\Omega_\ell)$. Then, $u_\ell \in H^s_{\Omega_\ell}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a weak solution of the problem* (1.2)*, if it satisfies*

$$\varepsilon_{\Omega_\ell}(u_\ell, \phi) = \int_{\Omega_\ell} f_\ell \phi, \quad \forall \phi \in H^s_{\Omega_\ell}(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{2.4}$$

The weak solution of the problem (1.6) can be understood in similar way. Next we define the notion of weak solution for non-homogeneous boundary value problem of fractional Laplacian.

Definition 2.2 *Let $g \in V(\Omega)$ and $f' \in L^2(\Omega)$, a function $u \in V(\Omega)$ is called a weak solution of*

$$\begin{cases} (-\triangle)^s u = f' & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = g & \text{on } \Omega^c, \end{cases}$$

if $u - g \in H^s_\Omega(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\varepsilon_\Omega(u, \phi) = \int_\Omega f' \phi, \quad \forall \phi \in H^s_\Omega(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Existence and uniqueness of the weak solutions for those problems are well studied and fairly well-known now a days. We refer to [1] for further reference.

3 Some Preliminary Results

Before we start proving the preliminary results, required for the proof of Theorem 1.2, one important fact that should be noticed is the involvement of the constant $C_{n,s}$(depends on the dimension) in the weak formulation of the problem (1.5) and which is not the case for Laplacian. Since the problem (1.5) and (1.6) deals with fractional Laplacian in n and $n-1$ dimensions respectively, necessarily there should be a connecting formula for the constants. Our next lemma provides the aforesaid connection.

Lemma 3.1 *For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in (0,1)$, let $C_{n,s}$ be defined by* (2.3). *Then one has $C_{n,s}\Theta_n = C_{n-1,s}$, where*

$$\Theta_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dz}{(1+z^2)^{\frac{n+2s}{2}}}.$$

Proof. First note that $\Theta_n = 2\int_0^\infty \frac{dz}{(1+z^2)^{\frac{n+2s}{2}}}$. Changing the variable by $z = \tan\theta$, one obtains

$$\Theta_n = 2\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (\cos\theta)^{n+2s-2} d\theta = B\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{n+2s-1}{2}\right),$$

where,

$$B(x,y) = 2\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (\cos\theta)^{2x-1}(\sin\theta)^{2y-1} d\theta,$$

for $x, y \in (0,\infty)$. Using the formula $B\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{n+2s-1}{2}\right) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{n+2s-1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n+2s}{2})}$ one obtains the desired result. $\square$

As we have discussed in the introduction, for the problem involving Laplace operator it is a straight forward calculation to claim that v_∞ is the unique weak solution of the following problem :

$$\begin{cases} -\triangle v = f(X_2) & \text{in } \Omega_\ell, \\ v = v_\infty(X_2) & \text{on } \partial\Omega_\ell. \end{cases}$$

But in the case of fractional Laplacian we have to establish that u_∞ is a weak solution of (3.1).

Lemma 3.2 *Let us define $u^*_\infty(x_1, X_2) := u_\infty(X_2)$. Then u^*_∞ is the unique weak solution of the problem*

$$\begin{cases} (-\triangle)^s u = f(X_2) & \text{in } \Omega_\ell, \\ u = u_\infty(X_2) & \text{on } \Omega_\ell^c, \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

where u_∞ is the weak solution of (1.6).

Proof. First of all we would like to show that problem (3.1) is well defined, that is $u^*_\infty \in V(\Omega_\ell)$. Clearly $u^*_\infty\big|_{\Omega_\ell} \in L^2(\Omega_\ell)$. Next we would like to show that

$$\int_{\Omega_\ell}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u^*_\infty(X) - u^*_\infty(Y)|^2}{|X-Y|^{n+2s}} dX dY < \infty.$$

We see,

$$\int_{\Omega_\ell}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{|u^*_\infty(X)-u^*_\infty(Y)|^2}{|X-Y|^{n+2s}}dXdY \le \int_{-\ell}^{\ell}\int_\omega\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{|u_\infty(X_2)-u_\infty(Y_2)|^2}{|X_2-Y_2|^{n+2s}\left(1+\frac{|x_1-y_1|^2}{|X_2-Y_2|^2}\right)^{\frac{n+2s}{2}}}dY\,dX_2dx_1$$

$$\le \int_{-\ell}^{\ell}\int_\omega\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}\frac{|u_\infty(X_2)-u_\infty(Y_2)|^2}{|X_2-Y_2|^{n+2s}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{dy_1}{\left(1+\frac{|x_1-y_1|^2}{|X_2-Y_2|^2}\right)^{\frac{n+2s}{2}}}\right)dY_2dX_2dx_1$$

$$\le \Theta_n\int_{-\ell}^{\ell}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}\frac{|u_\infty(X_2)-u_\infty(Y_2)|^2}{|X_2-Y_2|^{n-1+2s}}dX_2dY_2\right)dx_1 = 2\ell\Theta_n[u_\infty]_{H^s_\omega(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})},$$

where Θ_n is as in Lemma 3.1. This ensures that $u^*_\infty \in V(\Omega_\ell)$.

Now we show that u^*_∞ is indeed a weak solution of the problem (3.1). Let $\phi \in H^s_{\Omega_\ell}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\varepsilon(u^*_\infty,\phi) = C_{n,s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{\{u^*_\infty(X)-u^*_\infty(Y)\}\phi(X)}{|X-Y|^{n+2s}}dXdY$$
$$= C_{n,s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{\{u_\infty(X_2)-u_\infty(Y_2)\}\phi(X)}{|X_2-Y_2|^{n+2s}\left(1+\frac{|x_1-y_1|^2}{|X_2-Y_2|^2}\right)^{\frac{n+2s}{2}}}dYdX.$$

Integrating first with respect to y_1 and using the fact that u_∞ satisfies (1.6) weakly, one obtains

$$\varepsilon(u^*_\infty,\phi) = C_{n,s}\Theta_n\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}\frac{\{u_\infty(X_2)-u_\infty(Y_2)\}\phi(x_1,X_2)}{|X_2-Y_2|^{n-1+2s}}dX_2dY_2\right)dx_1$$
$$= \frac{C_{n,s}\Theta_n}{C_{n-1,s}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\int_\omega f(X_2)\phi(x_1,X_2)dX_2\right)dx_1 = \int_{\Omega_\ell} f(X_2)\phi(X)dX.$$

To obtain the last equality we have used Lemma 3.1 and since the problem (3.1) admits unique solution, this completes the proof of the lemma. □

The next theorem is the Poincaré inequality in fractional sobolev space for the domain of the form Ω_ℓ. We refer to Lemma 1 of [15] for the proof.

Theorem 3.1 *[Poincaré Inequality] Let $\ell > 0$ and Ω_ℓ be the domain defined above. Then for every $u \in H^s_{\Omega_\ell}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ there exists a constant $C > 0$, independent of ℓ, such that*

$$\int_{\Omega_\ell} u^2\ dX \le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{\{u(X)-u(Y)\}^2}{|X-Y|^{n+2s}}\ dX\ dY.$$

We conclude this section by proving an a priori estimate using Poincaré inequality, that will be useful in proving Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 3.3 *Let $f \in L^2(\omega)$ and $u_\ell \in H^s_{\Omega_\ell}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the weak solution of the equation (1.5). Then for every ℓ there exists some constant $C > 0$, independent of ℓ, such that*

$$\int_{\Omega_\ell} u_\ell^2 \le C\ ||f||^2_{L^2(\omega)}\ell.$$

Proof. Using $\phi = u_\ell$ in (2.4), Poincaré inequality and Hölder's inequality, it holds for some constant $C > 0$ (independent of ℓ)

$$\int_{\Omega_\ell} u_\ell^2 \leq C \int_{\Omega_\ell} f(X_2) u_\ell \leq C\sqrt{\ell} ||f||_{L^2(\omega)} ||u_\ell||_{L^2(\Omega_\ell)}.$$

This proves the lemma. $\square$

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2 which is one of the main result of this article. The subsequent function ρ_ℓ would play an integral role to prove the theorem. Let $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and $\rho_\ell : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a Lipschitz continuous function on $\mathbb{R}$, defined by

$$\rho_\ell = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{in } (-\alpha\ell, \alpha\ell) \\ 0 & \text{on } (-\ell, \ell)^c, \end{cases}$$

such that $0 \leq \rho_\ell \leq 1$ and $|\rho_\ell^{'}| \leq \frac{C}{\ell}$ for some constant $C > 0$ depending on α. Before going to the proof of the theorem, let us prove an important lemma which would be required for the proof.

Lemma 4.1 *Let* u_∞ *be the weak solution of* (1.6) *and* ρ_ℓ *be defined as above, then the function* $\phi_\ell(X) := u_\infty(X_2)\rho_\ell^2(x_1) \in H^s_{\Omega_\ell}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. It is clear that $\phi_\ell \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, as we see

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi_\ell^2(X)\ dX = \left(\int_{-\ell}^{\ell} \rho_\ell^4(x_1)dx_1\right)\left(\int_\omega u_\infty^2(X_2)dX_2\right) < \infty.$$

Now let us consider the semi-norm of $H^s_{\Omega_\ell}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

$$\begin{aligned} [\phi_\ell]_{H^s_{\Omega_\ell}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\phi_\ell(X)-\phi_\ell(Y)|^2}{|X-Y|^{n+2s}} dXdY \\ &= \int_{\Omega_\ell}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\phi_\ell(X)-\phi_\ell(Y)|^2}{|X-Y|^{n+2s}} dXdY + \int_{\Omega_\ell^c}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\phi_\ell(X)-\phi_\ell(Y)|^2}{|X-Y|^{n+2s}} dXdY \\ &\leq 2\int_{\Omega_\ell}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\phi_\ell(X)-\phi_\ell(Y)|^2}{|X-Y|^{n+2s}} dXdY + \int_{\Omega_\ell^c}\int_{\Omega_\ell^c} \frac{|\phi_\ell(X)-\phi_\ell(Y)|^2}{|X-Y|^{n+2s}} dXdY \\ &= 2I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\phi_\ell = 0$ outside Ω_ℓ this implies that $I_2 = 0$ and therefore it is sufficient to show that I_1 is a finite term.

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_{\Omega_\ell}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\phi_\ell(X)-\phi_\ell(Y)|^2}{|X-Y|^{n+2s}} dXdY \\ &\leq 2\int_{\Omega_\ell}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u_\infty^2(X_2)\{\rho_\ell^2(x_1)-\rho_\ell^2(y_1)\}^2}{|X-Y|^{n+2s}} dXdY + 2\int_{\Omega_\ell}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\rho_\ell^4(y_1)\{u_\infty(X_2)-u_\infty(Y_2)\}^2}{|X-Y|^{n+2s}} dXdY \\ &= 2I_3 + 2I_4. \end{aligned}$$

We first estimate the term I_3.

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3 &= \int_{\Omega_\ell}\int_{|X-Y|<1} \frac{u_\infty^2(X_2)\{\rho_\ell^2(x_1)-\rho_\ell^2(y_1)\}^2}{|X-Y|^{n+2s}}dXdY \\
&\qquad\qquad + \int_{\Omega_\ell}\int_{|X-Y|\geq 1} \frac{u_\infty^2(X_2)\{\rho_\ell^2(x_1)-\rho_\ell^2(y_1)\}^2}{|X-Y|^{n+2s}}dXdY \\
&\leq C\int_{\Omega_\ell}\int_{|X-Y|<1} \frac{u_\infty^2(X_2)|X-Y|^2}{|X-Y|^{n+2s}}dXdY + C\int_{\Omega_\ell}\int_{|X-Y|\geq 1}\frac{u_\infty^2(X_2)}{|X-Y|^{n+2s}}dXdY \\
&\leq C\int_{\Omega_\ell} u_\infty^2(X_2)\ dX \int_{B(0,1)}\frac{dZ}{|Z|^{n+2s-2}} + C\int_{\Omega_\ell} u_\infty^2(X_2)\ dX\int_{B(0,1)^c}\frac{dZ}{|Z|^{n+2s}} \\
&= K.
\end{aligned}$$

The term I_4 is also finite after following a similar argument as done in the beginning of the proof of Lemma 3.2. It concludes the proof. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Set $v_\ell := u_\ell - u_\infty$. Using the definition of u_ℓ and Lemma 3.2 we see that v_ℓ satisfies the following equation in weak sense:

$$\begin{cases} (-\triangle)^s v_\ell = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_\ell, \\ v_\ell = -u_\infty(X_2) & \text{on } \Omega_\ell^c, \end{cases}$$

which is obtained after subtracting (1.5) from (3.1). Hence for $\phi \in H^s_{\Omega_\ell}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, one has

$$\frac{1}{2}C_{n,s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{\{v_\ell(X)-v_\ell(Y)\}\{\phi(X)-\phi(Y)\}}{|X-Y|^{n+2s}}dXdY = 0. \tag{4.1}$$

From previous lemma we know that $\phi_\ell := \rho_\ell^2(x_1)v_\ell(X) \in H^s_{\Omega_\ell}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and hence the expression (4.1) holds true with $\phi = \phi_\ell$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{\{v_\ell(X)-v_\ell(Y)\}\{v_\ell(X)\rho_\ell^2(x_1)-v_\ell(Y)\rho_\ell^2(y_1)\}}{|X-Y|^{n+2s}}\ dX\ dY \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left[\frac{\{v_\ell(X)-v_\ell(Y)\}^2\rho_\ell^2(x_1)}{|X-Y|^{n+2s}} + \frac{v_\ell(Y)\{v_\ell(X)-v_\ell(Y)\}\{\rho_\ell^2(x_1)-\rho_\ell^2(y_1)\}}{|X-Y|^{n+2s}}\right]dX\ dY.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{\{v_\ell(X)-v_\ell(Y)\}^2\rho_\ell^2(x_1)}{|X-Y|^{n+2s}}dX\ dY \\
&= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{v_\ell(Y)\{v_\ell(X)-v_\ell(Y)\}\{\rho_\ell^2(x_1)-\rho_\ell^2(y_1)\}}{|X-Y|^{n+2s}}dXdY \\
&\leq \epsilon\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{\{v_\ell(X)-v_\ell(Y)\}^2\{\rho_\ell(x_1)+\rho_\ell(y_1)\}^2}{|X-Y|^{n+2s}}dXdY + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{\{\rho_\ell(x_1)-\rho_\ell(y_1)\}^2 v_\ell^2(Y)}{|X-Y|^{n+2s}}dXdY
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\leq 2\epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\{v_\ell(X)-v_\ell(Y)\}^2\{\rho_\ell^2(x_1)+\rho_\ell^2(y_1)\}}{|X-Y|^{n+2s}}dXdY + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\{\rho_\ell(x_1)_-\rho_\ell(y_1)\}^2 v_\ell^2(Y)}{|X-Y|^{n+2s}}dXdY \\
&= 4\epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\{v_\ell(X)-v_\ell(Y)\}^2\rho_\ell^2(x_1)}{|X-Y|^{n+2s}}dX\ dY + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\{\rho_\ell(x_1)_-\rho_\ell(y_1)\}^2 v_\ell^2(Y)}{|X-Y|^{n+2s}}dX\ dY.
\end{aligned}
$$

Choosing ϵ small enough, one gets for some constant $C>0$,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\{v_\ell(X)-v_\ell(Y)\}^2\rho_\ell^2(x_1)}{|X-Y|^{n+2s}}dX\ dY \leq C\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\{\rho_\ell(x_1)_-\rho_\ell(y_1)\}^2 v_\ell^2(Y)}{|X-Y|^{n+2s}}dX\ dY. \tag{4.2}
$$

Now applying Poincaré inequality, obtained from Theorem 3.1, we get that for some constant $C>0$ (independent of ℓ)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega_\ell}(v_\ell\rho_\ell)^2\ dX \leq C\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\{0\}} \frac{\{v_\ell(X)\rho_\ell(x_1)-v_\ell(Y)\rho_\ell(y_1)\}^2}{|X-Y|^{n+2s}}dXdY \\
&\leq 2C\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\{\rho_\ell(x_1)_-\rho_\ell(y_1)\}^2 v_\ell^2(Y)}{|X-Y|^{n+2s}}dX\ dY + 2C\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\{v_\ell(X)_-v_\ell(Y)\}^2\rho_\ell^2(x_1)}{|X-Y|^{n+2s}}dX\ dY.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}
$$

Combining this (4.3) with (4.2) and using Tonelli's theorem, we obtain for some constant $C>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega_\ell}(v_\ell\rho_\ell)^2\ dX &\leq C\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\{\rho_\ell(x_1)_-\rho_\ell(y_1)\}^2 v_\ell^2(Y)}{|X-Y|^{n+2s}}\ dX\ dY \\
&= C\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\{\rho_\ell(x_1)_-\rho_\ell(y_1)\}^2 v_\ell^2(Y)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}\frac{1}{|X-Y|^{n+2s}}dX_2\right)dx_1\ dY \\
&= \frac{C}{\prod_{i=2}^n\Theta_i}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v_\ell^2(Y)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{\{\rho_\ell(x_1)_-\rho_\ell(y_1)\}^2}{|x_1-y_1|^{1+2s}}dx_1\right)dY \\
&= \frac{C}{\prod_{i=2}^n\Theta_i}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v_\ell^2(Y)J_\ell(y_1)dY,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
J_\ell(y_1) = \int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{\{\rho_\ell(x_1)_-\rho_\ell(y_1)\}^2}{|x_1-y_1|^{1+2s}}dx_1.
$$

By definition of v_ℓ, one obtains for some constant $C>0$,

$$
\int_{\Omega_\ell}|v_\ell\rho_\ell|^2\ dY \leq 2C\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}u_\ell^2(Y)J_\ell(y_1)\ dY + 2C\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}u_\infty^2(Y_2)J_\ell(y_1)\ dY := 2CI_\ell + 2CI_\infty. \tag{4.4}
$$

We now estimate the term $J_\ell(y_1)$.

Case 1: $y_1 \in (-2\ell, 2\ell)^c$

In this case $\rho_\ell(y_1)=0$, therefore

$$
|J_\ell(y_1)| \leq \ ||\rho_\ell||_{L^\infty}^2\int_{-\ell}^{\ell}\frac{dx_1}{|x_1-y_1|^{1+2s}} \leq \frac{C}{|\ell-y_1|^{2s}} + \frac{C}{|\ell+y_1|^{2s}}.
$$

Case 2: $y_1 \in (-2\ell, 2\ell)$

We estimate this case using mean value theorem and $|\rho_\ell^{'}| \leq \frac{C}{\ell}$. We rewrite the integral as

$$J_\ell(y_1) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\{\rho_\ell(y_1+z_1) - \rho_\ell(y_1)\}^2}{|z_1|^{1+2s}}\, dz_1.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} |J_\ell(y_1)| &\leq C\int_{-\ell}^{\ell} \frac{\{\rho_\ell(y_1+z_1)_-\rho_\ell(y_1)\}^2}{|z_1|^{1+2s}}\, dz_1 + C\int_{(-\ell,\ell)^c} \frac{\{\rho_\ell(y_1+z_1)_-\rho_\ell(y_1)\}^2}{|z_1|^{1+2s}}\, dz_1 \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\ell^2}\int_{-\ell}^{\ell} \frac{|z_1|^2}{|z_1|^{1+2s}}\, dz_1 + 2C||\rho_\ell||_\infty^2 \int_{(-\ell,\ell)^c} \frac{dz_1}{|z_1|^{1+2s}} \quad \leq \frac{C}{\ell^{2s}}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the two cases we get

$$J_\ell(y_1) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{C}{\ell^{2s}} & \text{in } (-2\ell, 2\ell), \\ \frac{C}{|\ell - y_1|^{2s}} + \frac{C}{|\ell + y_1|^{2s}} & \text{on } (-2\ell, 2\ell)^c. \end{cases} \tag{4.5}$$

Now we estimate the term I_∞ in (4.4) using the above estimate (4.5) for J_ℓ.

$$\begin{aligned} I_\infty = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_\infty^2(Y) J_\ell(y_1)\, dY &= \int_\omega u_\infty^2(Y_2) dY_2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} J_\ell(y_1) dy_1 \\ &= ||u_\infty||_{L^2(\omega)}^2 \left\{ \int_{-2\ell}^{2\ell} J_\ell(y_1) dy_1 + \int_{(-2\ell,2\ell)^c} J_\ell(y_1) dy_1 \right\} \leq \frac{C||u_\infty||_{L^2(\omega)}^2}{\ell^{2s-1}}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

if $s > \frac{1}{2}$. Finally we estimate the term I_ℓ, using Lemma 3.3. As $u_\ell = 0$ outside Ω_ℓ, we have

$$I_\ell = \int_{\Omega_\ell} u_\ell^2 J_\ell \leq \frac{C}{\ell^{2s}} \int_{\Omega_\ell} u_\ell^2 \leq \frac{C||f||_{L^2(w)}}{\ell^{2s-1}}. \tag{4.7}$$

Finally, since $\rho_\ell = 1$ on $(-\alpha\ell, \alpha\ell)$, we obtain from (4.4) by using (4.6) and (4.7) that

$$\int_{\Omega_{\alpha\ell}} (u_\ell - u_\infty)^2\, dX \leq \frac{C}{\ell^{2s-1}},$$

where the constant C is independent of ℓ. Since $s > \frac{1}{2}$, this concludes the proof of the theorem by letting $\ell \to \infty$. ☐

5 Proof of Theorem 1.3

In this section, we are going to study the second part of this article, i,e, we generalize Theorem 1.1 for every $s \in (0, 1)$. For a bounded and Lipschitz continuous function ρ on $\mathbb{R}^n$, similar to the article [15], let us define $S_s(\rho)$ by

$$S_s(\rho)(X) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} \left(\sqrt{\rho(X+Y)} - \sqrt{\rho(X)} \right)^2 \frac{dY}{|Y|^{n+2s}}.$$

Our aim is to construct a function ρ for each $s \in (0,1)$ which satisfies

$$S_s(\rho)(X) \leq C_0 \gamma \rho(X), \ \forall X \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad \text{where,} \quad 0 < \gamma < \frac{1}{10}. \tag{5.1}$$

Once we construct suitable ρ which satisfies (5.1), then the proof of Theorem 1.3 would be an easy consequence of following proposition.

Proposition 5.1 *Let $u_\ell \in H^s_{\Omega_\ell}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the weak solution of (1.2). If for some $\gamma < \frac{1}{10}$ there exist a bounded Lipschitz continuous function ρ such that (5.1) holds. Then for some constant $C^1_\gamma > 0$ independent of ℓ, we have*

$$\int_{\Omega_\ell} u_\ell^2(X)\rho(X)\ dX \leq C^1_\gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_\ell^2(X)\rho(X)\ dX.$$

The proof of Proposition 5.1 is a straightforward application of Lemma 3 and Theorem 1 of article [15] by Yeressian.

To this end, for $0 < \epsilon \leq 2$ let us define

$$\phi_\epsilon(t) = \min\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \ \frac{1}{|t|^\epsilon + 1}\right\}.$$

Clearly ϕ_ϵ is Lipschitz continuous and bounded. Then the function $\rho_{\epsilon,\lambda}$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ defined by

$$\rho_{\epsilon,\lambda}(X) := \phi_\epsilon\left(\frac{x_1}{\lambda}\right)$$

is also Lipschitz continuous and bounded. The next theorem can be considered as the main step for the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 5.2 *For each $\lambda > 0$ and $\epsilon < 2s$ one has for some constant $C = C(\epsilon) > 0$,*

$$S_s\left(\rho_{\epsilon,\lambda}\right)(X) \leq \frac{C_\epsilon}{\lambda^{2s}} \rho_{\epsilon,\lambda}(X).$$

Remark 5.3 For $\epsilon \in (1,2]$ we can also take $\phi_\epsilon(t) := \frac{1}{1+|t|^\epsilon}$, then also the conclusion of Theorem 5.2 remains true. One can adopt a similar line of proof for this case.

We need the following two lemmas in order to prove Theorem 5.2. First let us prove these lemmas.

Lemma 5.1 *For $\epsilon > 0$, there exist some constant $K = K(\epsilon) > 0$ such that,*

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{R}, |\tau|\geq 1} \frac{\phi_\epsilon(z+\tau)}{\phi_\epsilon(z)|\tau|^\epsilon} = K < \infty.$$

Proof. We write

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{R},|\tau|\geq 1}\frac{\phi_\epsilon(z+\tau)}{\phi_\epsilon(z)|\tau|^\epsilon}\leq \max\{A,B,C\}$$

where,

$$A=\sup_{|z|\leq 2,|\tau|\geq 1}\frac{\phi_\epsilon(z+\tau)}{\phi_\epsilon(z)|\tau|^\epsilon},\ B=\sup_{|z|\geq 2,\ 1\leq|\tau|\leq\frac{|z|}{2}}\frac{\phi_\epsilon(z+\tau)}{\phi_\epsilon(z)|\tau|^\epsilon}\ \text{and}\ C=\sup_{|z|\geq 2,\ |\tau|\geq\frac{|z|}{2}}\frac{\phi_\epsilon(z+\tau)}{\phi_\epsilon(z)|\tau|^\epsilon}.$$

Using $\phi_\epsilon(z)\geq\phi_\epsilon(2)$ on the set $|z|\leq 2$ and $||\phi_\epsilon||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}\leq\frac{1}{2}$, we get trivially

$$A\leq\frac{1+2^\epsilon}{2}. \tag{5.2}$$

Now we estimate the term B. On the set $|\tau|\leq\frac{|z|}{2}$, using triangle inequality we get $|z+\tau|\geq\frac{|z|}{2}$. Using this we have

$$\begin{aligned}B=\sup_{|z|\geq 2,\ 1\leq|\tau|\leq\frac{|z|}{2}}\frac{\phi_\epsilon(z+\tau)}{\phi_\epsilon(z)|\tau|^\epsilon}&=\sup_{|z|\geq 2,\ 1\leq|\tau|\leq\frac{|z|}{2}}\frac{1+|z|^\epsilon}{(1+|z+\tau|^\epsilon)|\tau|^\epsilon}\\&\leq\sup_{|z|\geq 2,\ 1\leq|\tau|\leq\frac{|z|}{2}}\frac{1+|z|^\epsilon}{|z+\tau|^\epsilon}\leq 2^\epsilon\sup_{|z|\geq 2,\ 1\leq|\tau|\leq\frac{|z|}{2}}\frac{1+|z|^\epsilon}{|z|^\epsilon}\leq 2^\epsilon+1.\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Now we estimate the term C, using $||\phi_\varepsilon||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}\leq\frac{1}{2}$ we see

$$C\leq 2^\epsilon||\phi_\varepsilon||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}\sup_{|z|\geq 2,\ |\tau|\geq\frac{|z|}{2}}1+\frac{1}{|z|^\epsilon}\leq\frac{2^\epsilon+1}{2}. \tag{5.4}$$

The lemma then follows with $K=2^\epsilon+1$, after combining (5.2), (5.3) and (5.4). □

Lemma 5.2 *There exist a constant $C_\epsilon>0$, such that*

$$\left|\left(\sqrt{\phi_\epsilon(x+\xi)}\right)'\right|^2\leq C_\epsilon\phi_\epsilon(x),\ \ \textit{for almost all}\ \ x\in\mathbb{R},\ |\xi|\leq 1.$$

Proof. First we consider the case when $|x|>2$. Using the fact that $|x+\xi|^{\epsilon-2}<1$ (which is true since $|x+\xi|>1$ and $\epsilon<2$) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\left(\sqrt{\phi_\epsilon(x+\xi)}\right)'\right|^2=C_\epsilon\frac{|x+\xi|^{2\epsilon-2}}{(1+|x+\xi|^\epsilon)^3}\leq C_\epsilon\frac{|x+\xi|^\epsilon}{(1+|x+\xi|^\epsilon)^3}&\leq C_\epsilon\frac{1}{(1+|x+\xi|^\epsilon)^2}\\&\leq\frac{C_\epsilon}{1+|x+\xi|^\epsilon}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

Again since $|x|>2$ and $|\xi|\leq 1$, this implies

$$1+|x+\xi|^\epsilon\geq 1+(|x|-1)^\epsilon\geq 1+\left(\frac{|x|}{2}\right)^\epsilon\geq\frac{1+|x|^\epsilon}{2^\epsilon}. \tag{5.6}$$

Combining the two equations (5.5) and (5.6), we get the required inequality for $|x| > 2$.

Now let us consider the case $|x| \leq 2$. First note that if $|x + \xi| \leq 1$, then the required inequality is a triviality as $\phi'_\epsilon(z) = 0$ on the set $|z| \leq 1$. So we consider the case when $|x + \xi| > 1$. Clearly in this case as $|x + \xi| \leq 3$, we have

$$\left|\left(\sqrt{\phi_\epsilon(x+\xi)}\right)'\right|^2 = C_\epsilon \frac{|x+\xi|^{2\epsilon-2}}{(1+|x+\xi|^\epsilon)^3} \leq C_\epsilon |x+\xi|^{2\epsilon-2} \leq C_\epsilon \begin{cases} 3^{2\epsilon-2} & \text{if } \epsilon \geq 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } 0 < \epsilon < 1. \end{cases}$$

Lastly, as $|x| \leq 2$, it implies $\phi_\varepsilon \geq \frac{1}{2^\varepsilon+1}$. Therefore, for $|x| \leq 2$ and for some constant $C_\epsilon > 0$, we get

$$\left|\left(\sqrt{\phi_\epsilon(x+\xi)}\right)'\right|^2 \leq C_\varepsilon \phi_\varepsilon(x).$$

This finishes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

Now we are ready to prove the Theorem 5.2.

Proof of Theorem 5.2.
First let us consider $S_s(\rho_{\epsilon,\lambda})(x)$. By Integrating in the variables $y_2, y_3, . , . , y_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_s(\rho_{\epsilon,\lambda})(X) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\{0\}} \left(\sqrt{\rho_{\epsilon,\lambda}(X+Y)} - \sqrt{\rho_{\epsilon,\lambda}(X)}\right)^2 \frac{dY}{|Y|^{n+2s}} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\{0\}} \left(\sqrt{\phi_\epsilon\left(\frac{x_1+y_1}{\lambda}\right)} - \sqrt{\phi_\epsilon\left(\frac{x_1}{\lambda}\right)}\right)^2 \frac{dY}{|Y|^{n+2s}} \\ &= \Theta \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}} \left(\sqrt{\phi_\epsilon\left(\frac{x_1+y_1}{\lambda}\right)} - \sqrt{\phi_\epsilon\left(\frac{x_1}{\lambda}\right)}\right)^2 \frac{dy_1}{|y_1|^{1+2s}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Theta = \prod_{i=2}^n \Theta_i$ is as in Lemma 3.1. Using the change of variable $\lambda\tau = y_1$, we get

$$S_s(\rho_{\epsilon,\lambda})(X) = \frac{\Theta}{\lambda^{2s}} \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}} \left(\sqrt{\phi_\epsilon\left(\frac{x_1}{\lambda}+\tau\right)} - \sqrt{\phi_\epsilon\left(\frac{x_1}{\lambda}\right)}\right)^2 \frac{d\tau}{|\tau|^{1+2s}} := \frac{\Theta}{\lambda^{2s}} I_s\left(\frac{x_1}{\lambda}\right).$$

Clearly the theorem will be proved if we show that there exist a constant $C_\epsilon > 0$,

$$I_s(x) \leq C_\epsilon \phi_\epsilon(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Since ϕ_ϵ is even, using change of variable it is easy to show $I_s(x) = I_s(-x)$ and hence it is enough to consider the case when $x > 0$. We write $I_s(x) = I_1^s(x) + I_2^s(x)$, where

$$I_1^s(x) = \int_{(-1,1)\setminus\{0\}} \left(\sqrt{\phi_\epsilon(x+\tau)} - \sqrt{\phi_\epsilon(x)}\right)^2 \frac{d\tau}{|\tau|^{1+2s}}$$

and

$$I_2^s(x) = \int_{(-1,1)^c} \left(\sqrt{\phi_\epsilon(x+\tau)} - \sqrt{\phi_\epsilon(x)}\right)^2 \frac{d\tau}{|\tau|^{1+2s}}.$$

Let us first estimate the term I_2^s using Lemma 5.1.

$$I_2^s(x) = \int_{(-1,1)^c} \left(\sqrt{\phi_\epsilon\left(x+\tau\right)} - \sqrt{\phi_\epsilon\left(x\right)}\right)^2 \frac{d\tau}{|\tau|^{1+2s}} \ \leq 2\int_{(-1,1)^c} \left(\phi_\epsilon\left(x+\tau\right) + \phi_\epsilon\left(x\right)\right) \frac{d\tau}{|\tau|^{1+2s}}$$

$$\leq C\phi_\epsilon(x) + 2\int_{(-1,1)^c} \phi_\epsilon\left(x+\tau\right) \frac{d\tau}{|\tau|^{1+2s}} \leq C\phi_\epsilon(x) + C_\epsilon\phi_\epsilon(x)\int_1^\infty \frac{d\tau}{|\tau|^{1+2s-\epsilon}}.$$

Since $\epsilon < 2s$, we get

$$I_2^s(x) \leq C_\epsilon\phi_\epsilon(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Now we estimate the term I_1^s. Using mean value theorem, there exists some $|\xi| \leq 1$ and $x - \tau \leq x + \xi \leq x + \tau$, such that

$$\left|\sqrt{\phi_\epsilon(x+\tau)} - \sqrt{\phi_\epsilon(x)}\right| \leq \left|\left(\sqrt{\phi_\epsilon(x+\xi)}\right)'\right| |\tau|.$$

Plugging this expression in $I_1^s(x)$ and using Lemma 5.2 we finally get

$$I_1^s(x) \leq C_\varepsilon\phi_\varepsilon(x).$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Now we present the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3.

By the hypothesis of the theorem, u_ℓ is the weak solution of the problem (1.2) where

$$||f_\ell||_{L^2(\Omega_\ell\setminus\Omega_{\ell-1})} \leq K$$

for each ℓ. Taking λ large enough we see by Theorem 5.2 that the hypothesis of Proposition 5.1 holds true with the function $\rho_{\epsilon,\lambda}$. Hence we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega_\ell} u_\ell^2(X)\rho_{\epsilon,\lambda}(X)\ dX \leq C_\gamma^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_\ell^2(X)\rho_{\epsilon,\lambda}(X)\ dX, \tag{5.7}$$

where, C_γ^1 is independent of ℓ. Now using assumption (1.4), inequality (5.7) and the definition of $\rho_{\epsilon,\lambda}$ we get

$$\int_{\Omega_1} u_\ell^2\ dX \leq C_1\int_{\Omega_\ell} \rho_{\epsilon,\lambda}u_\ell^2\ dX \leq C_2\int_{\Omega_\ell\setminus\Omega_{\ell-1}} \rho_{\epsilon,\lambda}f_\ell^2\ dX \leq \frac{C}{(\ell-1)^\epsilon},$$

for every $\varepsilon < 2s$. This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

A Appendix

As mentioned in the introduction, here we give a proof of Theorem 1.2 using Proposition 5.1 with Theorem 5.2 and we need a better regularity on the solution u_∞ of the problem (1.6) in order to prove this connection. The boundedness and regularity of u_∞ follow from the next theorem obtained by Oton and Serra [see, [12]].

Theorem A.1 *Let Ω be a bounded Lipschitz domain satisfying the exterior ball condition and $f \in L^2(\Omega)$. Let u be the weak solution of type* (1.2) *where f_ℓ is replaced by f and Ω_ℓ is replaced by Ω.*

(i) If $f \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ then $u \in C^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and there exists $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$||u||_{C^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_1 ||f||_{L^\infty(\Omega)}.$$

(ii) In addition, if there exists $\beta > 0$ such that $\beta + 2s > 2$ and $f \in C^{0,\beta}(\bar{\Omega})$, then $u \in C^{2,2s+\beta-2}(\Omega)$ and there exists a constant $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$||u||_{C^{2,2s+\beta-2}(\Omega)} \leq C_2 \left(||f||_{C^{0,\beta}(\bar{\Omega})} + ||u||_{C^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right).$$

Once we consider the above assumption on the force function f to the problem (1.6), then the weak solution u_∞ of (1.6) is a classical solution and $u_\infty \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$. Next we state and prove the main theorem in this section.

Theorem A.2 *Let $s \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$, $f \in C^{0,\beta}(\bar{\Omega})$ for some $\beta > 0$ such that $\beta + 2s > 2$. Let u_ℓ be the solution of* (1.5) *and u_∞ be the solution of* (1.6)*. Then we have*

$$||u_\ell - u_\infty||_{L^2(\Omega_1)} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \textit{as} \quad \ell \to \infty.$$

Proof. We need a very important auxiliary function in order to prove the theorem. Let $\psi_\ell : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth bounded function on $\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\psi_\ell = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{in } (-\ell, \ell)^c \\ 0 & \text{on } (-\ell+1, \ell-1). \end{cases}$$

We define

$$w_\ell := u_\ell - u_\infty + \psi_\ell u_\infty. \tag{A.1}$$

By the assumption on f and ψ_ℓ, using Theorem A.1 we observe that $(-\triangle)^s u_\infty \psi_\ell$ can be evaluated point-wise in Ω_ℓ. First, we will show that $(-\triangle)^s u_\infty \psi_\ell \in L^2(\Omega_\ell)$. By the definition of fractional Laplacian we see

$$\begin{aligned}
&(-\triangle)^s u_\infty(X_2)\psi_\ell(x_1) \\
=&\frac{C_{n,s}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{2u_\infty(X_2)\psi_\ell(x_1) - u_\infty(X_2+Y_2)\psi_\ell(x_1+y_1) - u_\infty(X_2-Y_2)\psi_\ell(x_1-y_1)}{|Y|^{n+2s}}\, dY \\
=&\frac{C_{n,s}}{2}\psi_\ell(x_1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{2u_\infty(X_2) - u_\infty(X_2+Y_2) - u_\infty(X_2-Y_2)}{|Y|^{n+2s}}\, dY \\
&+ \frac{C_{n,s}}{2}\left[\int_{B(0,1)} \frac{u_\infty(X_2+Y_2)\{\psi_\ell(x_1) - \psi_\ell(x_1+y_1) + y_1\psi_\ell'(x_1)\}}{|Y|^{n+2s}}\, dY \right. \\
&\left. \qquad + \int_{B(0,1)^c} \frac{u_\infty(X_2+Y_2)\{\psi_\ell(x_1) - \psi_\ell(x_1+y_1)\}}{|Y|^{n+2s}}\, dY \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\frac{C_{n,s}}{2}\left[\int_{B(0,1)} \frac{u_\infty(X_2-Y_2)\{\psi_\ell(x_1)-\psi_\ell(x_1-y_1)-y_1\psi_\ell'(x_1)\}}{|Y|^{n+2s}}\,dY\right.\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\left.+\int_{B(0,1)^c} \frac{u_\infty(X_2-Y_2)\{\psi_\ell(x_1)-\psi_\ell(x_1-y_1)\}}{|Y|^{n+2s}}\,dY\right]\\
=\;& I_1+I_2+I_3,
\end{aligned}
$$

where, I_1, I_2, I_3 are the first, second and third integral respectively in the above expression. In the previous calculation we have used the fact that $y_1\psi_\ell'(x_1)$ is an odd function of y_1 for each Y_2. Now for $y_1 \in (-1,1)$ using the estimate

$$
|\psi_\ell(x_1)-\psi_\ell(x_1\pm y_1)\pm\psi_\ell'(x_1)y_1| \le y_1^2||\psi_\ell''||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}
$$

and the boundedness of ψ_ℓ, we get

$$
|I_2|+|I_3| \le K||u_\infty||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}\left(||\psi_\ell||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}+||\psi_\ell''||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}\right). \tag{A.2}
$$

Next, we consider I_1 and as we did earlier in our article, integrating with respect to y_1 variable, we get

$$
|I_1| \le C\;|\psi_\ell(x_1)||(-\triangle')^s u_\infty(X_2)| = C|\psi_\ell(x_1)||f(X_2)|,
$$

hence, combining this with (A.2) we finally get for each $(x_1, X_2) \in \Omega_\ell$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|(-\triangle)^s u_\infty(X_2)\psi_\ell(x_1)|\\
\le& C||\psi_\ell||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}\;|f(X_2)| + K||u_\infty||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}\left(||\psi_\ell||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}+||\psi_\ell''||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{A.3}
$$

The inequality (A.3) assures us $(-\triangle)^s u_\infty\psi_\ell \in L^2(\Omega_\ell)$. Hence, from the definition of u_ℓ and u_∞ we see that $v_\ell := u_\ell - u_\infty$ satisfies the following equation :

$$
\begin{cases}
(-\triangle)^s v_\ell(X) = (-\triangle)^s\left(\psi_\ell(x_1)u_\infty(X_2)\right) := \Psi_\ell(X) & \text{in } \Omega_\ell,\\
v_\ell = 0 & \text{on } \Omega_\ell^c,
\end{cases} \tag{A.4}
$$

where, $\Psi_\ell \in L^2(\Omega_\ell)$.

Now applying Proposition 5.1 to the equation (A.4) with the family of functions $\rho_{\epsilon,\lambda}$ for sufficiently large λ, we get for any $\epsilon < 2s$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\int_{\Omega_1}(u_\ell-u_\infty)^2 &= \int_{\Omega_1}\rho_{\epsilon,\lambda}v_\ell^2 \le \int_{\Omega_\ell}\rho_{\epsilon,\lambda}v_\ell^2 \le \int_{\Omega_\ell}\rho_{\epsilon,\lambda}\Psi_\ell^2\\
&\le \int_{\Omega_{\frac{\ell}{2}}}\rho_{\epsilon,\lambda}\Psi_\ell^2 + \int_{\Omega_\ell\setminus\Omega_{\frac{\ell}{2}}}\rho_{\epsilon,\lambda}\Psi_\ell^2 \le \int_{\Omega_{\frac{\ell}{2}}}\rho_{\epsilon,\lambda}\Psi_\ell^2 + \frac{\lambda^\epsilon}{\lambda^\epsilon+(\frac{\ell}{2})^\epsilon}\int_{\Omega_\ell\setminus\Omega_{\frac{\ell}{2}}}\Psi_\ell^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.5}
$$

First we estimate the function Ψ_ℓ on the set $\Omega_{\frac{\ell}{2}}$ point-wise. By definition

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_\ell(X) &:= (-\triangle)^s\left(u_\infty(X_2)\psi_\ell(x_1)\right)\\
&= \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{2u_\infty(X_2)\psi_\ell(x_1)-u_\infty(X_2+Y_2)\psi_\ell(x_1+y_1)-u_\infty(X_2-Y_2)\psi_\ell(x_1-y_1)}{|Y|^{n+2s}}dY\\
&= \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{-u_\infty(X_2+Y_2)\psi_\ell(x_1+y_1)-u_\infty(X_2-Y_2)\psi_\ell(x_1-y_1)}{|Y|^{n+2s}}dY.
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly as ω is bounded we can find a ball B_R of radius R in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ such that $\omega \subset B_R$. Hence, as $X \in \Omega_{\frac{\ell}{2}}$, we see that

$$u_\infty(X_2 \pm Y_2)\psi(x_1 \pm y_1) = 0 \quad \text{where} \quad Y \in \left(\left(-\frac{\ell}{2}+1, \frac{\ell}{2}-1\right)^c \times B_{2R}\right)^c.$$

Using this fact we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi_\ell(X)| &\leq 2||u_\infty||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}||\psi_\ell||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \int_{\left(-\frac{\ell}{2}+1,\frac{\ell}{2}-1\right)^c \times B_{2R}} \frac{dY}{|Y|^{n+2s}} \\ &\leq 2||u_\infty||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}||\psi_\ell||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}|B_{2R}| \int_{\left(-\frac{\ell}{2}+1,\frac{\ell}{2}-1\right)^c} \frac{dy_1}{|y_1|^{n+2s}} \leq \frac{C_1||u_\infty||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}||\psi_\ell||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}}{\ell^{n-1+2s}}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.6}$$

Using the estimate (A.6) we see there exists a constant $C_2 > 0$ depending on the L^∞ norm of u_∞ and ψ_ℓ such that

$$\int_{\Omega_{\frac{\ell}{2}}} \Psi_\ell^2(X)\, dX \leq \frac{C_2}{\ell^{2n-3+4s}}. \tag{A.7}$$

Finally, using the inequality (A.7) and the fact $||\Psi_\ell||^2_{L^2(\Omega_\ell)} \leq C\ell$ to the expression (A.5), we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega_1} (u_\ell(X) - u_\infty(X))^2 dX \leq \frac{C_2}{\ell^{n-2+2s}} + \frac{C}{\ell^{\epsilon-1}}.$$

Since ϵ is any arbitrary number less than $2s$ and $s > \frac{1}{2}$, we take ϵ as $1 < \epsilon < 2s$. Now this implies that, as $\ell \to \infty$,

$$\int_{\Omega_1} (u_\ell - u_\infty)^2 \longrightarrow 0.$$

This completes the proof. □

Acknowledgments. The research work of the second author is supported by "Innovation in Science Pursuit for Inspired Research (INSPIRE)" under the IVR Number: 20140000099.

References

[1] M. Felsinger, M. Kassmann, and P. Voigt; The Dirichlet problem for nonlocal operators. *Math. Z.* 279 (2015), no. 3-4, 779–809.

[2] M. Chipot; ℓ goes to plus infinity, Birkhäuser, 2002.

[3] M. Chipot and A. Rougirel; On the asymptotic behaviour of the solution of elliptic problems in cylindrical domains becoming unbounded. *Commun. Contemp. Math.* 4 (2002), no. 1, 15-44.

[4] M. Chipot and A. Rougirel; Remarks on the asymptotic behaviour of the solution to parabolic problems in domains becoming unbounded, *Nonlinear Analysis* 47, p. 3–12, 2001.

[5] M. Chipot, A. Mojsic and P. Roy; On some variational problem set on domains tending to infinity, *to appear.*

[6] M. Chipot, P. Roy and I. Shafrir; Asymptotics of eigenstates of elliptic problems with mixed boundary data on domains tending to infinity, *Asymptotic Analysis,* 85, no. 3-4, 199–227, 2013.

[7] M. Chipot and S. Mardare; Asymptotic behaviour of the Stokes problem in cylinders becoming unbounded in one direction. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9) 90 (2008), no. 2, 133-159.

[8] M. Chipot and S. Mardare; The Neumann problem in cylinders becoming unbounded in one direction. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 2015.

[9] M. Chipot and K. Yeressian; On the asymptotic behavior of variational inequalities set in cylinders. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 33 , no. 11–12, 2013.

[10] M. Chipot and K. Yeressian; Exponential rates of convergence by an iteration technique. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci.* Paris 346, no. 1-2, 21–26, 2008.

[11] D. Nezza, E. Palatucci and G. Valdinoci Enrico; Hitchhiker's guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces. *Bull. Sci. Math.* 136 (2012), no. 5, 521-573.

[12] X. Ros-Oton and J. Serra; The Dirichlet problem for the fractional Laplacian: regularity up to the boundary. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9) 101 (2014), no. 3, 275-302.

[13] S. Guesmia; Some results on the asymptotic behavior for hyperbolic problems in cylindrical domains becoming unbounded, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 341 (2008), no. 2, 1190-2012.

[14] S. Guesmia; Some convergence results on quasi-linear parabolic boundary value problems in cylindrical domains of large size, *Nonlinear Anal.* 70 (2009), no. 9, 3320-3331.

[15] K. Yeressian; Asymptotic behavior of elliptic nonlocal equations set in cylinders, *Asymptot. Anal.* 89 (2014), no. 1-2, 21–35.